Consensus Statement of the International Summit on Intellectual Disability and Dementia Related to Advanced Dementia and End-of-life Care
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Abstract

Adults with intellectual disability are affected by dementia variously at equivalent and elevated rates; many surviving affected by dementia into advanced age. End-of-life care and support considerations come into play among these individuals when most are in the advanced stage of dementia. The International Summit on Intellectual Disability and Dementia’s report on advanced dementia and end-of-life has the intent of providing a synthesis statement which encompasses defining the state of advanced dementia, proposing use of palliative care services (including hospice), and recommending special efforts for enabling advanced directives and advance care planning prior to the extensive progression of dementia. The Summit recommended integrative efforts between intellectual disability and palliative care providers, specialized training for carers on end-of-life care and supports, and involvement of adults with intellectual disability in their advanced care planning.
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**Introduction**

Dementia is a term that characterizes the progressive loss of brain function that occurs with certain neuropathological diseases or trauma, and ultimately results in death – usually from circulatory and respiratory (e.g., pneumonia) diseases.\(^2\) Death can also result from dementia-related dysfunction, such as self-neglect, general wasting, malnutrition, and dehydration, which are contributory risks when an individual with dementia can no longer care for him or herself.\(^3\)

The time frame of progression to end-of-life in persons with dementia generally is complicated by a host of factors, including the person’s overall general health and stamina, other chronic diseases or coincident conditions, level of fragility and frailty, lack of or access to health services, and propensity for acute conditions, such as infections. Because of these risk factors, concerns related to end-of-life care are usually focused on persons with advanced dementia. Families or support services are confronted with managing a tension between supporting the person and enabling him or her to continue to lead a desired life, whilst at the same time supporting and preparing for ongoing decline in health and overall function and ultimately death. That death may be the direct result of the progression of dementia but may also be due to contributory co-morbid health conditions and/or be but the direct result of those other health conditions.

The Summit believes that what differentiates end-of-life issues among adults with intellectual disability and dementia from general end-of-life issues among adults with intellectual disability in general are three primary features: (1) pending death as a function of progression to the advanced stage of dementia (with the expectation that ceasing of primary functions will lead to organ failure or associated life-threatening illness, such as pneumonia); (2) pending death associated with a life-threatening co-incident condition (e.g., cancer, heart failure) irrespective of stage of dementia, and (3) pending death due to trauma or injury unassociated with dementia. The additional consideration is involvement in care decision-making; when advanced dementia


is present there is an inability to participate in decision-making due to severe cognitive impairment.

The challenge to define what is meant by the end-of-life phase for all individuals with advanced dementia is particularly more difficult among individuals with an intellectual disability. The statement seeks to address this challenge and to offer care practice guidance.

**Statements and Recommendations**

*Advanced dementia.* It is recognized that the late or advanced stage of dementia is one presenting with gross debilitation and associated with death. The features of advanced dementia include profound memory deficits (e.g., inability to recognize family), minimal verbal communication, loss of ambulatory abilities, the inability to perform activities of daily living, and urinary and fecal incontinence.4 The frequency and severity of seizures is an area where advanced dementia is different for people with an intellectual disability; but, as advanced dementia in people with intellectual disability requires more detailed description and documentation, it will be addressed in another Summit paper.5

*Needs at end-of-life.* Defining need and nature of care is often challenging as each dying adult with advanced dementia will present with different features and have different levels of ability and preparedness to participate in his or her care planning.6 Defining the end stage of life and its likely duration is difficult, but it is particularly difficult with persons with intellectual disability and advanced dementia when many of the scales used, such as the Functional Assessments Staging Tool7 (FAST; see stage 7), tend to define the last months of life (and in some countries eligibility for hospice and other palliative care approaches) in terms of inabilities for affected persons to dress themselves, walk without assistance, bathe properly without assistance, maintain

---

5See www.aadmd.org/ntg/summit and http://www.learningdisabilityanddementia.org/id-dementia-summit.html
continence of urine and stool, and speak or meaningfully communicate. Many adults with severe forms of intellectual disability may effectively qualify for stage 7, but not have dementia or be dying. A broader consideration of status is needed and we recognize the importance of understanding when this, often extended, advanced stage of dementia is reached. We propose that there is evidence among individuals with intellectual disability who are diagnosed with advanced dementia that if the FAST criteria are met AND are accompanied by acute medical complications such as multiple hospitalizations, recurrent infections (e.g., urinary tract infections, blood infections), aspiration pneumonia (resulting from choking on food or fluids), pressure sores on the skin, and/or refusal to eat, then together these may signal that remaining life expectancy is of fewer than six months. This would then signal the introduction of the need for end-of-life care.

**Palliative and hospice care.** Palliative and hospice care are often considered to be synonymous with end-of-life care. The WHO defines palliative care as “an approach that improves the quality of life of patients and their families facing the problem associated with life-threatening illness, through the prevention and relief of suffering by means of early identification and impeccable assessment and treatment of pain and other problems, physical, psychosocial and spiritual”.\(^8\) Hospice care involves a team-oriented approach to expert medical care, pain management, and emotional and spiritual support expressly tailored to an individual’s needs and wishes. It is the belief of the Summit group that palliative and hospice care definitions may not completely capture the experience, care and challenges of end-of-life care for people with an intellectual disability.

The Summit recognizes that palliative care can address needs beyond the final months, but that current conceptualizations must be expanded and be expressed more in terms of person-centered and relationship-centered care. This means that palliative care among adults with intellectual disability must better reflect the philosophies underpinning care and expertise inherent in both intellectual disability and specialist palliative care services.\(^9\) There should be an

---


enhancement of the knowledge and specific skills for workers in intellectual disability, particularly in managing symptoms such as pain, constipation, dyspnea and fevers, managing nutrition and hydration, and assessing pain/distress. There should also be a recognition of staff in specialist palliative care who can offer help with symptom management and be an external source of support that may be vital to dying adults that they have much to learn from staff in intellectual disabilities services and from families about better management of communication with the person with intellectual disability; facilitating grief and loss, and addressing disenfranchised grief among staff and peers.\textsuperscript{10} In the end stage of disease care, providers, family, intellectual disability services and palliative and hospice providers must effectively work together to address gaps in service provision and offer expertise/training, attention to the skill mix among existing staff resources, inclusion of intellectual disability-specific expertise and the opportunity to build upon values, needs, and preferences already expressed in existing person-centered plans for the person with intellectual disability, as more persons present with advanced dementia and wish to remain in their place of care in their last months of life; a desire their carers often share.\textsuperscript{11}

Person-centered, palliative, and end-of-life care should be about living and dying well when confronted with advanced dementia. Quality dementia-capable care should incorporate elements of a palliative care approach intent on improving the quality of life of adults and their families, and the prevention and relief of suffering through early identification, assessment and treatment of pain, as well as physical, and psychosocial and spiritual concerns.\textsuperscript{12} It must also include responses that reflect the person’s preferences and his or her cultural and ethnic values.

The Summit concurs with the recommendations of the European Association for Palliative Care Taskforce for People with Intellectual Disabilities\textsuperscript{13} with respect to equity of access for people with intellectual disability to palliative care services and supports, and agree

\textsuperscript{10} See http://shura.shu.ac.uk/280/1/PDF_Senses_Framework_Report.pdf
that for people with intellectual disability and advanced dementia this will only occur if there is (a) recognition of their differing communication needs; (b) appreciation of health and social care professionals’ alertness to signs and symptoms of serious illness, end of life and the dying phase; (c) inclusion of people who matter to the person with intellectual disability in symptom management, education and training; (de) support for families, carers and peers; (e) collaboration between intellectual disability services, hospital-based primary care, and palliative care and hospice care professionals with family and peer carers and the person with advanced dementia; and (fg) hospice care in- and out-of-home which offers supportive care focused on comfort and quality of life in the final phase of dementia.

**Place of Care.** As with other persons in general, place of care at end-of-life must include offering person-centered and palliative and hospice support in a range of living and care options, from own or family home, domiciliary, group home, specialized disability services settings, and/or (when appropriate) nursing facilities with 24-hour skilled nursing supports. In advanced dementia, there should also be the opportunity to receive day supports, as well as respite, family support, and supportive interventions in their home. Dementia-related supports and sensory-based interventions that are designed to maintain quality of life and valued relationships should be offered and constructed along person-centered planning principles.

**Planning for End of Life.** The Summit believes that similar to what is being encouraged for all persons with dementia and other terminal conditions a discussion of goals and expectations around end-of-life care should start early, preferably before onset of the disease or neuropathology and only if the person and their carers desire. Advance planning gives the individual opportunities to indicate choices about specific treatments, such as resuscitation, preferred intensity for medical interventions or preferences for artificially administered nutrition (e.g., feeding tubes) and hydration. Carers (both family and staff) are accustomed to advocating for active treatment. The decision possible in advanced dementia and indeed in other end stage disease, to forgo resuscitation, hospital admission, antibiotic use, feeding tubes, and other life prolonging efforts, can often be confusing and distressing for carers. Waiting until dementia is


present to discuss end-of-life and assuming that any decisions only need to be discussed once it presents only adds to the burden of any diagnosis. But viewing the last days as only about such decisions is too limiting. There are also emotional and personal aspects. End-of-life is also about maintaining and celebrating the individual and his or her life history, and end-of-life care is also about supporting those who remain after death by commemorating a life which had meaning. Persons affected by dementia deserve no less. Positioning end-of-life within an understanding of the person’s life history and lifelong person-centered planning around their values and preferences facilitates this discussion. However, it is acknowledged that such discussions in many cases have not yet occurred because persons with an intellectual disability, families, and paid carers lack information on decision points in the transition from active to palliative care, while remaining committed to preserving desired quality of life. This lack of information needs to be addressed collectively by the intellectual disability services and the palliative/hospice care systems.

**Advanced Care Planning.** The Summit recognizes that planning (whether formal organized or informal) is a dynamic and continuing process of considering and documenting people’s wants, preferences, and choices prior to diagnosis and expressed preferences should be considered as an individual’s condition changes.¹⁶ Legal frameworks in different jurisdictions offer varying guidance on completing oral or written advance directives (such as, the appointment of a health care proxy, or an equivalent). There are similar issues around definition of and capacity for consent. This applies not only to consent, but also to the legal status of advance care planning, the implications of determining capacity among adults with more severe levels of intellectual disability, ability to understand and participate in planning and understanding and consenting to palliative/hospice services.

Across all jurisdictions exploring through person-centered planning of a person’s values and preferences throughout life will offer the best guide to the person’s wishes in his or her end days. The Summit recommends involving people with intellectual disabilities in decisions about their end of life care drawing upon statements of values in their person-centered plans where available. Practitioners and family are also encouraged to ascertain and honor these desires. In

jurisdictions where advance planning is encouraged and guided by legal frameworks, protocols must be in place to ensure that people with an intellectual disability have the same opportunities to express their desires in a formal manner. There must also be educational materials and interventions to support both the individual with ID and their carers, family, and staff.

**Educational Materials.** The Summit believes that staff and other personnel working with older adults should be provided with training in key issues related to end-of-life care and the opportunity to examine their own values and perspectives on dying and death. Provider organizations must develop, distribute, and implement educational materials for carers and others related to advanced dementia and end-of-life. There are ready curricula and resources available.17

**Commentary**

The Summit recognized as there are unique considerations when progressive dementia is a factor in the last days of a person with an intellectual disability. End-of-life care and support in general is better undertaken when there are tools and training available, but that is not enough. As has been so true in much of their lives, there is a challenge for mainstream services, including palliative/hospice services, to effectively include adults with intellectual disability and their families and other carers in care decision-making and easily access and receive needed services. Further, the Summit recognizes that the focus cannot be on primary medically-oriented end-of-life care. Adults with intellectual disability affected by dementia bring their own life stories, their own relationships, and their own service history with them to the end of life. In advanced dementia continuing the connection with that history and hearing the voice of the person is critical. End-of-life is not simply about procedures and interventions, palliative or hospice; it must be about the person living his or her last days as desired and their family, friends, and paid carers completing that journey with them. Person-centered approaches that have improved the lives of so many people with intellectual disability must be continued to the end of life. Rather

---

than new and unique approaches, end-of-life care must build upon and benefit from this history and fulfil the realization of each person’s values and preferences.